

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/648,166	08/25/2003	Richard Wasiewicz	LMC-48	3531	
7590 07/29/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
PLEVY & HOWARD			ALSOMIRI, ISAM A		
600 NORTH EASTON ROAD WILLOW GROVE, PA 19090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3662	3662	
•			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>	•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/648,166	WASIEWICZ, RICHARD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
TI MAN MODATE CHI	Isam Alsomiri	3662			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 May 2005.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		,			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 25 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3662

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by anyone of Stangel et al. US 5,021,793; Gabriel et al. US 4,937,584; Dickey, Jr. et al. US006166689A; or Hussain et al. US005515060A.

Referring to claims 1-2 and 4, Stangel discloses in figure 1 a radar system which reduces the interfering effect of a radar transmitter including generating at said radar transmitter a constant-amplitude pulse centered at a frequency within said nominal frequency bandwidth of said radar and having a nominal bandwidth which lies within said nominal frequency bandwidth, and which also has an actual bandwidth which extends into said other operating band; applying phase perturbations to said constant-amplitude pulse so as to produce a phase-perturbed constant-amplitude pulse centered at said frequency within said nominal frequency bandwidth of said radar with reduced amplitude of that portion of said actual bandwidth of said constant-amplitude pulse which lies within said other operating band; and transmitting said phase-perturbed constant-amplitude pulse toward a radar target (see figure 1, Abstract, col. 2 lines 24-50). Gabriel teaches a similar system to suppress interference using phase

perturbations to null the interferences (see Abstract). Dickey also teaches a similar system using phase perturbations to null interferences (see col. 10 lines 21-35). Hussain teaches a clutter suppression for a radar array including a perturbation phase generator (see Abstract).

Referring to claims 5-6, it's inherent that each of the reference above teaches the claimed null center factor, where at least one of the frequency, width, shape is identified. (see Dickey, col. 2 line 65 – col. 3 line 5; see Hussain col. 2 lines 18-22).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isam Alsomiri whose telephone number is 571-272-6970. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,166

Art Unit: 3662

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza can be reached on 571-272-6979. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Isam Alsomiri

July 24 2005

THOMAS H. TARCZA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Shomas W. Durey

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600